Application No.: 10/051,613 Amendment dated: May 31, 2005

Reply to Office Action of February 28, 2005 Attorney Docket No.: 0029.0017 (EG-12)

b.) Remarks

Claims 1-6, 9, and 12-51 are pending in this application. Claim 5 has been amended as indicated hereinabove.

Claim 5 has been objected to because of an informality. This Amendment provides the correction to Claim 5 to as required by the Examiner.

Claims 1-6, 9, and 12-51 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over Claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,606,850 B2;

Claims 1-6, 9, and 12-51 are otherwise allowable. To obviate this rejection, a terminal discaimer to obviate a double-patenting rejection over a "prior" patent is filed together with this Amendment.

Applicants believe that the present application is in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited. Should any questions arise, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

HOUSTON ELISEEVA LLP

Maria M. Eliseeva

Registration No.: 43,328 4 Militia Drive, Ste. 4

Lexington, MA 02421 Tel.: 781 863 9991 Fax: 781 863 9931

Date: May 31, 2005